

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,744 09/28/2001		09/28/2001	Richard O. Ruhr	00163.1250US01	2979	
23552	7590	12/04/2003		EXAMINER		
MERCHA	NT & GO	OULD PC	OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS			
P.O. BOX 2 MINNEAPO		N 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·				1751		
				DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No	Applicant(s)	M				
Office Action Summary										
			09/965,744		RUHR ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
	The MAILING DATE of this commu		Necholus C		1751					
Period fo		инсаион арре	ars on the C	over sneet with th	correspondence addre	3SS				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this core period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136 nmunication. (30) days, a reply v statutory period wil bly will, by statute, o	(a). In no event within the statuto I apply and will e ause the applica	, however, may a reply be or ry minimum of thirty (30) do expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ation to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this comn  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) for	iled on <u>28 Se</u> j	otember 20	<u>01</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a	ction is non	-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the	application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are allowed.									
·	Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 26-34</u> is/are rejected.									
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restr	riction and/or	election red	juirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar		•	-						
	Applicant may not request that any obj	•	•	•	` '					
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•	•	<b>—</b> ,	•	` '				
-	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Exa	miner. Note	e the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-	·152.				
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	٠			( ) ( D ) ( D					
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priorit  2. Certified copies of the priorit  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act acknowledgment is made of a claim Ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78.  1. The translation of the foreign lacknowledgment is made of a claim acknowledgment is made of	y documents y documents s of the priorit ional Bureau ion for a list o for domestic led in the first anguage prov for domestic	have been have been by documen (PCT Rule f the certific priority und sentence coisional applipriority und	received. received in Applica ts have been received to the context of the context of the specification of the specification for the specification of the specification of the specification for the specification of the specification of the specification for the specification of the s	etion No  Ived in this National State  Ived. Ived (to a provisional apporting an Application Date  Iveceived. Iveceived (to a provisional apporting an Application Date)  Iveceived (to a provisional apporting an Application Date)	optication) ata Sheet. specific				
Attachmen				. 🗖						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-15					

Application/Control Number: 09/965,744

Art Unit: 1751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The aforementioned claims state a secondary alkyl sulfonate as a surfactant component. However, it appears that applicant does not have basis for said component in the specification. Appropriate clarification and/or corrections are required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-3, 7-13 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO (96/29451).

WO '451 discloses a soft metal cleaning composition comprising 1.0% of calcium acetate; 13% of homopolyacrylate; 2.50% of diphenyl disulfonate; 4.0% of amine oxide; 10% of potassium hydroxide; 20% by weight of sodium hypochlorite and the remainder water (example H).

As this reference teaches all of the instantly required it is considered anticipatory.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,744

Art Unit: 1751

8. Claims 1-3, 7-9 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Geisler et al (3,687,858).

Geisler et al disclose an aluminum cleaner comprising 1% caustic soda; 0.3% trisodium phosphate; 0.01% sodium gluconate; 0.01% polyacrylic acid; 0.04% calcium chloride (example 1).

As this reference teaches all of the instantly required it is considered anticipatory.

9. Claims 14 and 17-23 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kanluen et al (5,710,120).

Kanluen et al disclose a non-silicated metal safe cleaning composition comprising 61.6% water; 3.5% alkyl polyglucoside; 3.05 tartaric acid; 25 parts sodium hydroxide; 2.4-dimethyl amine oxide; 1.5% lauryl sulfate and 2.0% dipropylene glycol (example 111).

As this reference teaches all of the instantly required it is considered anticipatory.

10. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baeck et al (5,803,986).

Baeck et al disclose a liquid dishwashing composition comprising 10% linear alkyl benzene sulfonate; 0.1% of calcium ions; 0.03% protease; and 0.04% polygalacturanase (example 3-V).

As this reference teaches all of the instantly required it is considered anticipatory.

11. Claims 24 and 26-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miracle et al (5,710,116).

Application/Control Number: 09/965,744 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

Miracle et al disclose a beach cleaning composition which may be used to clean metal surfaces (col. 11, lines 55-57) and comprising 1.0% of calcium sulfate; 7% pyrophosphate; 5% sodium carbonate; 30% linear alkyl benzene sulfonate (see example 7) and at least one nonionic silicone surfactant (col. 24, lines 7-8).

13. Miracle et al disclose all of the instantly required except a specific teaching of each of the claimed components in an example. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the components to specifically teach the claimed invention. Furthermore, it has been held that non-preferred embodiments can be indicative of obviousness. Merck & Co. v. Biocraft Laboratories, 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989).

## Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claim 25 is allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 703-308-3732. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,744

Art Unit: 1751

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Necholus Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

No November 29, 2003